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## Synopsis


#### Abstract

Alternating polyamide esters of structures A and B were obtained by melt polymerization of dimethyl adipate and terephthalate or by solution polymerization of adipoyl and terephthaloyl chlorides, with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-di(6-hydroxycaproyl)diamines and an N -6-hydroxycaproyl aminoalcohol:



where $R_{1}$ is selected from dimethylene, hexamethylene, and $p$-phenylene radicals, and $R_{2}$ is selected from tetramethylene or $p$-phenyl radical. Polyamide esters of structure $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ were also prepared:


Average values of melting points of the resulting polyamide esters were dependent on the starting diacid derivatives, amide diols, and methods of polymerizations as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CPZ }<\mathrm{ECA}<\mathrm{CHD}<\mathrm{CED}<\mathrm{CPPD} \\
\mathrm{DMA}<\mathrm{ADC}<\mathrm{DMT}<\mathrm{TPC} \\
\text { melt polymerization }<\text { solution polymerization }
\end{gathered}
$$

where CPZ, CHD, CED, and CPPD are $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-di(6-hydroxycaproyl)-, each in this order: piperazine, hexamethylenediamine, ethylenediamine, and p-phenylenediamine. ECA is N -(2-hy-droxyethyl)6-hydroxycaproamide. For a given polyamide ester obtained from the same starting materials and by the same method of polymerization, the melting points increased with inherent viscosities. Polyamide esters of high molecular weight were obtained from CHD with both adipic and terephthalic derivatives both by melt and solution polymerizations. Polymerizations of
the other amide diols gave lower molecular weights. Solution polymerization gave colorless or light-colored polymers, while melt polymerization gave deeper-colored polymers.

## INTRODUCTION

There are a number of studies on alternating polyamide esters. The authors would like to propose a method of classification of alternating polyamide esters. The repeating unit of a polyamide ester molecule consists of amide and ester linkages, each separated by polycarbon linkages. Considering the directions of such linkages, there are four basic directions, i.e., CA which is - $\mathrm{CONH}-, \mathrm{AC}$ which is - $\mathrm{NHCO}-, \mathrm{CO}$ which is - $\mathrm{COO}-$, and OC which is -OCO-. The combinations of these four directions give the following possible configurations of polyamide esters:

Polymonoamide monoester (MAME): (i) CA CO; (ii) CA OC.
Polymonoamide diester (MADE) (similar to polydiamide monoester): (i) CA CO CO; (ii) CA CO OC; (iii) CA OC CO; (iv) CA OC OC.

Polytriamide monoester (TAME) (similar to polymonoamide triester): (i) CA CA CA CO; (ii) CA CA CA OC; (iii) CA CA AC CO; (iv) CA CA AC OC; (v) CA AC CA CO; (vi) CA AC CA OC; (vii) CA CA CO AC; (viii) CA CA OC AC.

Polydiamide diester (DADE): (i) CA CA CO CO; (ii) CA CA CO OC; (iii) CA CA OC CO; (iv) CA CA OC OC; (v) CA CO CA CO; (vi) CA CA CO OC; (vii) CA OC CA OC; (viii) CA AC CO CO; (ix) CA AC CO OC; (x) CA AC OC CO; (xi) CA CO AC CO; (xii) CA CO AC OC; (xiii) CA CO CO AC; (xiv) CA CO OC AC; (xv) CA OC CO AC.

This classification indicates methods of preparation and properties of alternating polyamide esters. MAME polymers of the type MAME-(i) are rare, ${ }^{1}$ while MAME-(ii) polymers have been widely studied. ${ }^{2-6}$ DAME-(ii) ${ }^{7}$ and TAME-(viii) ${ }^{8}$ polymers are also rare. DADE polymers have been most intensively studied, and the typical types are DADE-(ix), ${ }^{9-12}$ DADE-(x), ${ }^{13}$ DADE-(xiii), ${ }^{14,15}$ DADE-(xiv),,${ }^{8,16,17}$ and DADE-(xv). ${ }^{18-21}$ Thus, the many studied polyamide esters are reduced in their structures to only several types of the above classification with too many unstudied types.

In this study, the authors have obtained polyamide esters $A, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the type DADE- $(\mathrm{x})$, and B corresponding to the type MADE(iii), as described in the synopsis of this paper. This study follows the authors' previous paper ${ }^{22}$ on polyamide urethanes from $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-di(6-hydroxycaproyl)alkylenediamines and N -hydroxylalkyl-6-hydroxycaproamide. The resulting interest of our previous study has led us to study the melt polymerization of these amide diols with dimethyl dicarboxylates such as dimethyl adipate (DMA) and terephthalate (DMT), and the solution polymerization with dicarboxyloyl chlorides such as adipoyl (ADC) and terephthaloyl (TPC) chlorides.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Starting Materials

ECA, CED, and CHD. ECA, CED, and CHD were prepared according to the method in our previous paper. ${ }^{22}$

CPZ and CPPD. Our previous method ${ }^{22}$ using acetonitrile as solvent did not give CPZ nor CPPD. Higher reaction temperatures and longer reaction time were necessary to obtain the amide diols as crystals, but when temperatures were too high and reaction times too long, amide-ester interchange reactions occurred which resulted in difficulty in product isolation. Therefore, yields were low. Better examples are as shown below.

CPZ: In a 1 -liter flask equipped with a stirrer, a dropping funnel with a nitrogen inlet, and a condenser with a nitrogen outlet was placed 77.5 g ( 0.9 mole) anhydrous piperazine, which was then melted at the bath temperature of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a nitrogen atmosphere. $\epsilon$-Caprolactone, 205.2 g ( 1.8 mole), in the dropping funnel was added dropwise into the agitated piperazine during 30 $\min$, and the mixture was reacted at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the nitrogen atmosphere for the further 20 hr . An oil viscous even at room temperature was obtained, and it was allowed to stand at room temperature for ten days until fine crystals precipitated. The crystals were recrystallized in aqueous ammonia; yield $25.0 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 78.0-79.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Obsd. C, 60.52; H, 9.42; N, 9.76. Calcd. C, 61.12; H, 9.62; $\mathrm{N}, 8.92$. IR key bands by KBr tablet, $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( OH stretching), $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching), $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-O stretching and OH deformation).

CPPD: In the same flask as used for the preparation of CPZ was placed 108.0 g ( 2 moles) $\epsilon$-caprolactone in the dropping funnel dropwise into the agitated $p$-phenylenediamine during 1 hr , and the mixture was reacted at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the nitrogen atmosphere for the further 17 hr . After the reaction, a condensed powdery cake was obtained on cooling to the room temperature. It was recrystallized several times with a total of 30 liters of water. Yield, $22.0 \%$; mp 197-199 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. Obsd. C, 64.22; H, 5.91; N, 9.03 . Calcd. C, 64.27; H, 8.39; $\mathrm{N}, 8.32$. IR key bands by KBr tablet, $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( OH and NH stretchings), $1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide I band), $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide II band), $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-O stretching and OH deformation).

DMA and DMT. Freshly prepared DMA (bp $76-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1{ }_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ) and DMT recrystallized from ethanol ( $\mathrm{mp} 142-143^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were used.

ADC and TPC. ADC and TPC were prepared according to the method of Fuson et al. ${ }^{23}$ ADC: bp $89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$; TPC: bp $115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{mp}$ $81-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Solvents. Nitrobenzene was washed with water, dried over calcium chloride, and distilled in the presence of phosphorous pentoxide, bp $207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pyridine was dried over sodium hydroxide and distilled, bp $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tetrachloroethane was dried over potassium carbonate and distilled, bp $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dimethylformamide was distilled in the presence of 2,4 -tolylene diisocyanate, bp $74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Catalyst. Commercially available best-grade antimony trioxide (ATO) and calcium acetate (CAC) were fully dried under vacuum at room temperature without further treating.

## Polymerization Procedure

Melt Polymerization. One mole dimethyl carboxylate and 1 to 2 moles amide diol were placed together with antimony trioxide and calcium acetate in a polymerization glass tube equipped with a nitrogen inlet leading to the bottom of the tube and a nitrogen outlet which also worked as the outlet of

TABLE I
Polymerization of Amide Diols with Dimethyl Carboxylates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Amide <br> Run diol, no. mole |  | Polymerization conditions |  | Color and state | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\eta_{i n h}$, Density, <br> $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hr) | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}$ $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{hr})$ |  |  |  |  |
| ECA-DMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.015 | 197(4) | 197/0.4(4) | light yellow wax | 33-45 | 0.06 | 1.15 |
| 2 | 0.022 | 197(4) | $\begin{array}{r} 197 / 0.5(4) \\ E C A \end{array}$ | light brown liquid $-D M T$ | - | 0.07 |  |
| 3 | 0.015 | 197(2), 225(2) | 255/0.4(4) | black solid | - | - | - |
| 4 | 0.015 | 197(4), 197(4) | (4) | brown solid | 30-39 | 0.16 | 1.22 |
| 5 | 0.022 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.3(4) | black solid | 84-95 | 0.10 | 1.217 |
| 6 | 0.022 | 197(2), 255(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 255 / 0.5(4) \\ \text { CED } \end{array}$ | black solid -DMA | 39-46 | 0.19 | 1.223 |
| 7 | 0.015 | 197(4) | 197/0.4(4) | light yellow solid | 123-130 | 0.12 | 1.16 |
| 8 | 0.022 | 197(4) | 197/0.3(4) | milky white solid | 124-130 | 0.14 | 1.171 |
| 9 | 0.022 | 197(2), 283(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 283 / 0.5(4) \\ \mathrm{CED} \end{array}$ | black solid -DMT | - | - | 1.143 |
| 10 | 0.015 | 197(2), 255(2) | 255/0.3(3) | black solid | - | - | - |
| 11 | 0.015 | 197(4) | 197/0.5(4) | brown solid | 150-164 | 0.06 | 1.18 |
| 12 | 0.022 | 197(4) | 197/0.3(4) | black solid | 148-163 | 0.11 | 1.196 |
| 13 | 0.022 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.5(4) | black solid | 122-134 | 0.39 | 1.188 |
| 14 | 0.022 | 197(2), 255(2) | 255/0.5(4) | black solid | 71-81 | 0.11 | 1.189 |

a Antimony trioxide, 0.0082 g , and calcium acetate, 0.0031 g , were used as catalysts.

TABLE II
Polymerizations of Amide Diols with Dimethyl Carboxylates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Amide Run diol, no. mole |  | Polymerization conditions |  | Color and state | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\eta_{i n h}$, Density, <br> $\mathrm{dl} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{hr})$ | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mm}$ Hg (hr) |  |  |  |  |
| CHD-DMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.015 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.4(4) | brownish solid | 116-127 | 0.20 | 1.12 |
| 16 | 0.020 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.4(4) | brownish solid | 98-108 | 0.20 | 1.035 |
| 17 | 0.020 | 197(2), 255(2) | 255/0.4(4) | light gray solid | 92-98 | 0.12 | 1.121 |
| CHD-DMT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.020 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.4(4) | colorless solid | 144-153 | 0.74 | 1.15 |
| 20 | 0.022 | 197(2), 283(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 283 / 0.4(4) \\ \text { CPZ } \end{array}$ | colorless solid -DMA | 161-170 | 1.02 | 1.078 |
| 21 | 0.020 | 197(2), 283(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 283 / 0.4(4) \\ \mathbf{C P} \end{array}$ | dark green gum - DMT | visc. liq. | 0.25 | 1.076 |
| 22 | 0.020 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.4(4) | black gum -DMA | visc. liq. | 0.24 | 1.180 |
| 23 | 0.02 | 197(2), 283(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 283 / 0.4(4) \\ \text { CPPD } \end{array}$ | black solid $-\mathrm{DMT}$ | - | - | - |
| 24 | 0.020 | 197(2), 283(2) | 283/0.4(4) | brown solid | 221-233 | - | 1.248 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Antimony trioxide, 0.0082 g , and calcium acetate, 0.0031 g , were used as catalysts.

TABLE III
Polymerization of Amide Diols with Dicarboxyloyl Chlorides

| Run no. | Dicarboxyloyl chloride, mole | Amide diol, mole | Polymerization temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Color and state | Yield, wt-\% | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\eta_{\text {inh }}$, $\mathrm{dl} / \mathrm{g}$ | Density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECA-ADC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140-148 | oily liquid | 53.5 | 42-47 | 0.13 | 1.16 |
| 2 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | brown liquid | 105.88 | - | 0.0538 | - |
| 3 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 142-148 | brown liquid | 81.21 | - | 0.0521 | - |
| 4 | ECA-TPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140-148 | white solid | 65.5 | 84-92 | 0.20 | 1.12 |
| $6^{\text {a }}$ | 0.010 | 0.010 | 60-70 | white solid | 62.5 | 119-127 | 0.12 | 1.12 |
| 7 c | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white powderb | 3.165 | - | - | 1.189 |
|  |  |  |  | brown liquid | 69.10 | - | 0.0638 | - |
| 8 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 143-147 | brown liquid | 76.58 | - | 0.0158 | - |
| 9 c | 0.012 | 0.010 | 140 | white powderb | 17.00 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | brown liquid | 23.88 | - | 0.073 | - |

a The polymerization was carried out by method (b), with 0.010 mole amide diol/20 ml trichloroethylene and 0.010 mole TPC/20 ml pyridine, polymerizing 1 (run no. 6) or 1.5 (run no. 20) hr.
b Soluble part in methanol.
c Polymerization in 20 ml DMF. Other polymerizations were carried out in 20 ml nitrobenzene for 6 hr by method (a).
methanol and lower molecules. Nitrogen was slowly introduced into the tube and the mixture was melted and reacted at a lower temperature with continuous slow bubbling of nitrogen for a few hours until no methanol evolved. The temperature was then elevated and the polymerization was continued for a further few hours. Finally, the nitrogen inlet was replaced by a capillary, and several more hours' polymerization in a reduced pressure was carried out. Detailed polymerization conditions are tabulated in Tables I and II.

Solution Polymerization. Solution polymerization was carried out by either of two methods. Method (a): Amide diol and carboxyloyl chloride were dissolved together in a solvent and polymerized. Method (b): Carboxyloyl chloride or its solution was added dropwise into a solution of amide diol during the polymerization time.

A general example of method (a) is as follows: A three-necked flask equipped with a stirrer, a condenser leading to a nitrogen outlet, and a dropping funnel leading from a nitrogen inlet was flamed thoroughly with passing nitrogen inside the flask to remove any trace of moisture. After cooling the flask to room temperature, amide diol and carboxyloyl chloride dissolved in a solvent in the dropping funnel were poured into the flask. The mixture was polymerized with stirring in nitrogen atmosphere for a few to several hours at $100-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Detailed polymerization methods are tabulated in Tables III to VI. The resulting polyamide ester was dissolved in DMF and precipitated in water. Polyamide esters from CPD were precipitated in methanol instead of in water.
Polymerization of Amide Diols with Dicarboxyloyl Chloride

| Run no. | Dicarboxyloyl chloride, mole | Amide diol, mole | Polymerization temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Color and state | Yield, wt-\% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mp} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\eta_{\text {inh }}$, dl/g | Density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CED-ADC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140-148 | - | 76.7 | 152-157 | 0.38 | 1.18 |
| $11^{\text {a }}$ | 0.010 | 0.010 | 80-90 | - | 59.5 | 151-156 | 0.20 | 1.18 |
| 12 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140 | brown liquid | 90.40 | - | 0.191 | - |
| 13 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | brown gum | 75.61 | - | 0.0329 | - |
| 14 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | brown powder | 76.09 | 152-210 | 0.0673 | 1.189 |
| 15 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | brown gum | 70.90 | - | 0.0523 | - |
| 16 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 143-147 | brown powder | 40.55 | 136-141 | 0.152 | 1.176 |
| 17 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 140 | black liquid | 112.1 | - | 0.0328 | - |
| CED-TPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 142-148 | white powder | 76.4 | 206-213 | 0.18 | 1.24 |
| $20^{\text {b }}$ | 0.010 | 0.010 | 80-90 | white powder | 77.7 | 230-237 | 0.25 | 1.24 |
| 21 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | brown solid | 49.23 | 177-187 | 0.101 | 1.235 |
| 22 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 142-149 | white powder | 55.47 | 208-214 | 0.191 | 1.244 |

[^0]TABLE V
Polymerization of Amide Diols with Dicarboxyloyl Chlorides

| Run no. | Dicarboxyloyl chloride, mole | Amide diol, mole | Polymerization temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Color and state | Yield, <br> wt-\% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mp} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\eta_{\text {inh }}$, <br> $\mathrm{dl} / \mathrm{g}$ | Density $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CED-TPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 140-143 | white powder | 19.44 | 175-187 | 0.133 | 1.270 |
| $\mathrm{CHD}-\mathrm{ADC}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140-147 | white solid | 74.2 | 138-142 | 0.66 | 1.15 |
| $26^{\text {a }}$ | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | light brown | 40.2 | 136-138 | 0.23 | 1.182 |
| 27 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white | 44.5 | 139-141 | 0.70 | 1.140 |
| 28 | 0.010 | 0.0125 | 140 | white | 54.7 | 133-134 | 0.95 | 1.135 |
| $\mathrm{CHD}-\mathrm{TPC}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 140-148 | white powder | 80.0 | 197-202 | 0.34 | 1.20 |
| $31^{\text {b }}$ | 0.010 | 0.010 | 115-125 | white powder | 79.4 | 196-204 | 0.22 | 1.20 |
| 32 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white powder | 71.13 | 179-188 | 0.133 | 1.190 |
| 33 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 140 | white powder | 49.68 | 171-179 | 0.186 | 1.250 |
| 34 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white powder | 86.2 | 190-198 | 0.33 | 1.114 |

[^1]Polymerization of Amide Diols with Dicarboxyloyl Chlorides

| Run no. | Dicarboxyloyl chloride, mole | Amide diol, mole | Polymerization temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | Color and state | Yield, wt-\% | $\mathrm{mp}_{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \eta_{\mathrm{inh}}, \\ \mathrm{dl} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | Density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHD-TPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 143-148 | white powder | 64.26 | 182-196 | 0.611 | 1.216 |
| 36 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 140-145 | white powder | 53.27 | 171-179 | 0.421 | 1.247 |
| 37 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 140 | white powder | 96.80 | 161-174 | 0.0113 | 1.241 |
| 38 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 140 | white powder CPZ-ADC | 77.19 | 176-186 | 0.160 | 1.245 |
| 39 | 0.010 | 0.0125 | 140 | brown $\mathrm{CPZ}-\mathrm{TPC}$ | 32.6 | - | 0.19 | 1.163 |
| 40 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | light brown CPPD-ADC | 24.7 | - | 0.04 | 1.202 |
| $41^{\text {a }}$ | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white CPPD-TPC | 67.0 | 160-167 | 0.13 | 1.120 |
| $42^{\text {a }}$ | 0.010 | 0.012 | 140 | white | 81.1 | 242-254 | 0.11 | 1.065 |

a Precipitated in methanol. Polymerizations were carried out in 20 ml nitrobenzene for 6 hr by method (a).

## Characterization of Polyamide Esters

Melting point, thermal gravimetric analysis, solution viscosity, density, IR, and x-ray powder diffraction were measured by the same methods and apparatus as in our previous study. ${ }^{22}$

Differential Thermal Analysis. A Shimazu (Japan) DTA analyzer Model DT-2A was used with aluminum oxide as the standard compensator at the rate of temperature elevation of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ in nitrogen atmosphere.

X-Ray Diffraction Photography. A $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$ beam was projected into the bunch of stretched polymer filaments (cold-drawn to five times of the undrawn) at an incidence angle of $90^{\circ}$ on the direction of fiber axis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Polymerizability

As shown in Tables I and II, by melt polymerization high molecular weight polymers were obtained from CHD-DMT couples. These polymers were colorless and could be cast into films both by melt and solution methods. The order of polymerizability, judging from the inherent viscosity, is:

## CHD-DMT > CED-DMT $>$ CPZ-DMA, CPZ-DMT $>$ CHD-DMA $>$ ECA-DMT $>$ CED-DMA $>$ ECA-DMA $>$ CPPD-DMT $>$ CPPD-DMA.

Classifying the order from the points of amide diols and dimethyl carboxylate,
DMT > DMA
and

$$
\mathrm{CHD}>\mathrm{CED}>\mathrm{CPZ}>\mathrm{ECA}>\mathrm{CPPD} .
$$

Except for CHD-DMT polymers, all the polymers were colored, and increasing polymerization temperature and time deepened the color to black. High molecular weight polymers were obtained only from DMT and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ -di(6-hydroxycaproyl)alkylene primary diamine such as CHD and CED. N-Hydroxyalkyl-6-hydroxycaproamide such as ECA, the molecular structure of which is not symmetrical in regard to the center of the molecule, did not give a high molecular weight polymer, probably because of the different reactivities of the two hydroxy groups and also because of the random configuration of the amide and ester linkages due to the amide-ester interchange reaction. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ - Di (6-hydroxycaproyl) secondary diamine such as CPZ should give a rather high molecular weight polymer, but the smaller inherent viscosity may be due to the lack of the hydrogen bonding of the polymer. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Di}(6-\mathrm{hy}-$ droxycaproyl) aromatic diamine such as CPPD gave a low molecular weight polymer because of the thermal decomposition. The better reactivity of DMT compared with DMA gave higher molecular weight DMT polymers. The double or a little more than double amount of amide diol over the amount of dimethyl carboxylate gave higher molecular weight polymers in case of DMT. This fact indicates polymerization of DMT via ester interchange reaction, while DMA directly polymerizes without ester interchange
to give low molecular weight polymers due to the unequivalent ester-hydroxy balance.

The polymerizability of solution polymerization as tabulated in Tables III, $\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}$, and VI is of the following order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CHD-ADC }>\text { CHD-TPC }>\text { CED-ADC }>\text { CED-TPC }>\text { ECA-TPC } \\
& \quad>\text { CPZ-ADC }>\text { ECA-ADC }>\text { CPPD-ADC }>\text { CPPD-TPC }>\text { CPZ-TPC }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{CHD}>\mathrm{CED}>\mathrm{ECA}, \mathrm{CPZ}, \mathrm{CPPD}
$$

and also

$$
\mathrm{ADC}>\mathrm{TPC}
$$

CHD-ADC and CHD-TPC gave colorless polymers which could be cast into films both by melt and solution methods. CED-ADC also gave a rather high molecular weight polymer. Polymers by solution polymerization were less colored and had higher molecular weights than those by melt polymerization. These should be due to the high reactivity of dicarboxyloyl chlorides and milder polymerization conditions such as lower temperature and shorter time. The smaller inherent viscosities of TPC polymers than those of ADC polymers are due to the smaller reactivity of TPC. It is remarkable that the maximum inherent viscosities of CHD and CED polymers are obtained not only at a $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{COCl}$ index near 1 but also at an index near 2 .

## Melting Point

The melting points of the melt-polymerized polymers are of the following order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CPPD-DMT }>\text { CHD-DMT, CED-DMT }>\text { CED-DMA }>\text { CHD-DMA } \\
&>\text { ECA-DMT }>\text { ECA-DMA, CPZ-DMT, CPZ-DMA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On classifying by the diols,

$$
\mathrm{CPPD}>\mathrm{CHD}, \mathrm{CED}>\mathrm{ECA}>\mathrm{CPZ}
$$

On classifying by the carboxyls,

$$
\text { DMT }>\text { DMA. }
$$

The melting points of the solution-polymerized polymers are of the following order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CPPD-TPC } & >\text { CED-TPC }>\text { CHD-TPC }>\text { CPPD-ADC }>\text { CED-AC } \\
& >\text { CHD-ADC }>\text { ECA-TPC }>\text { ECA-ADC }>\text { CPZ-TPC, CPZ-ADC }
\end{aligned}
$$

On classifying by the diols,

$$
\mathrm{CPPD}>\mathrm{CED}>\mathrm{CHD}>\mathrm{ECA}>\mathrm{CPZ}
$$

On classifying by the carboxyl chlorides,

$$
\mathrm{TPC}>\mathrm{ADC}
$$

TABLE VII
Korshak-Phrynze's Constants $a$ of Polyamide esters

| Polyamide ester | $a$ | Polyamide ester | $a$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECA-DMA | -2.38 | ECA-ADC | -2.31 |
| CED-DMA | 0.800 | CED-ADC | $1.64 \pm 0.44$ |
| CHD-DMA | $0.15 \pm 0.75$ | CHD-ADC | $1.50 \pm 0.10$ |

It is interesting that these orders are consistent with the orders of the melting points of the amide diols, dimethyl carboxylates, and dicarboxyloyl chlorides, similar to the case of polyamide urethanes in our previous paper. ${ }^{22}$ To evaluate the melting points, Korshak-Phrynze's constants ${ }^{22,24}$ on melting points were calculated and are tabulated in Table VII. The order of Kor-shak-Phrynze's constants $a$ is CED > CHD > ECA in both melt-polymerized and solution-polymerized polymers. Comparing the methods of polymerization: solution polymerization $>$ melt polymerization. This difference of the melting points of polymers by melt polymerization and by solution polymerization is probably due to the amide-ester interchange reaction of the polymers on melt polymerization at high temperatures. Korshak-Phrynze's constant of polyamide ester is in the following order compared with those of other polymers:
polyurea $>$ polyamide $>$ polyamide urethane $>$ polyurethane
> polyamide ester > polyester.

## Density

Densities of the polyamide esters are of the following order:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { CPPD-DMT }>\text { CED-TPC }>\text { ECA-DMT }>\text { CHD-TPO }>\text { CPZ-TPC } \\
>\text { CED-DMT CED-ADC }>\text { CPZ-DMT }>\text { CPZ-ADC }>\text { ECA-ADC } \\
>\text { CHD-ADC, CED-DMA }>\text { ECA-DMA, CHD-DMT }>\text { CHD-DMA } \\
>\text { CPZ-DMA, CPPD-ADC, ECA-TPC }>\text { CPPD-TPC. } .
\end{array}
$$

With some exceptions, the densities, classifying by the kind of dimethyl dicarboxylates and dicarboxyloyl chlorides, are of the following order:

$$
\mathrm{TPC} \gtrsim \mathrm{DMT}>\mathrm{ADC}>\text { DMA. }
$$

Also with some exceptions, the densities, classifying by the amide diols are of the following order:

CED $>\mathrm{CHD}, \mathrm{CPZ}, \mathrm{ECA}>\mathrm{CPPD}$ on solution polymerization
and
CPPD > CED, ECA > CPZ, CHD on melt polymerization.
The density dependence on the kind of dimethyl dicarboxylates and dicarboxyloyl chlorides indicates higher regularities of the solution-polymerized polymers than those of the melt-polymerized polymers and higher rigidities of the terephthalic polymers than those of the adipic polymers. CED, having the highest amide density, gave the highest polymer density on solution poly-
merization, probably because of high crystallinity; but on melt polymerization, a lower polymer density was obtained due to the more irregularly alternating configuration. CPPD, having a bulky rigid benzene ring, gave the highest density on melt polymerization, while giving the smallest density on solution polymerization, probably because on solution polymerization the alternating amide linkages may overcome the benzene rigidity.

## Thermal Stability

In Figures 1 and 2 are shown TGA of polyamide esters, and polymer decomposing temperatures are tabulated in Table VIII. Polymer decomposing temperatures $T_{d}$ are of the following order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CPZ-DMT } & >\text { CED-TPC }>\text { CHD-TPC }>\text { CHD-DMT } \\
> & \text { CPZ-DMA }>\text { CHDD-ADC }>\text { CED-ADC }>\text { CPPD-TPC } \\
& >\text { CPPD-ADC }>\text { ECA-DMT }>\text { ECA-DMA. } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Classifying the polymer decomposing temperatures by the dimethyl carboxylates and dicarboxyloyl chlorides, the order becomes
TPC, DMT > ADC, DMA
regardless of the kind of amide diols. Classifying the polymer decomposing temperatures by the amide diols, the order of $T_{d}$ becomes

$$
\mathrm{CPZ}>\mathrm{CHD}, \mathrm{CED}>\mathrm{CPPD}>\mathrm{ECA} .
$$

The higher $T_{d}$ of TPC and DMT polymers are due to the aromatic stability of the ester linkage. The highest $T_{d}$ of CPZ may be probably due to the lack of the N -hydrogen of the amide linkage, since the N -hydrogen may cause the initiation of thermal decomposition. The lowest $T_{d}$ of ECA polymer should


Fig. 1. TGA curves of polyamide esters-I.
be due to the impure quality of the resinous polymer. All the other polymers are dry powders showing far higher $T_{i}$ values. The smaller $T_{d}$ of CPPD polymers may be due to the more acidic N -hydrogen which causes the thermal decomposition of the ester linkage since the ester linkage is weaker to acid than the amide linkage. ${ }^{22}$ The difference of $T_{i}$ and $T_{m}$ is of the following order:
CPZ-DMA $>$ CPZ-DMT $>$ CED-ADC $>$ CHD-ADC

$$
\begin{aligned}
>\text { CHD-DMT }>\text { CHD-TPC }>\text { CED-TPC }> & >C P P D-A D C, ~ E C A-D M T ~ \\
& >\text { CPPD-TPC }>\text { ECA-DMA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, melt-casting and melt-spinning of ECA-DMA polymer and CPPDTPC polymer would be difficult. The double melting points annotated (b) in Table VIII are noteworthy. The three polymers are characteristic in their high intermolecular action since CED polymers have high concentration of amide and ester linkages, and CPPD-TPC polymer has the most rigid structure due to the benzene rings. The concentrations of amide linkages of CHD polymers are diluted with the longer methylene chains, and CPZ polymers have the lowest intermolecular action because of the absence of hydrogen bonding.

The authors would like to consider the lower endothermic temperatures as the melting points due to the ester linkage and the higher endothermic temperatures as the melting points due to the amide linkage. As shown in Figure 1 , the modes of the thermal decomposition of CHD-DMT polymer and CHD-TPC polymer are different, i.e., the former has two steps in its thermal degradation curve, while the latter has only one step. This may be due probably to the more random configuration of the amide-ester linkages of the CHD-DMT polymer and the more alternating configuration of the amide-


Fig. 2. TGA curves of polyamide esters-II.

TABLE VIII
Initiating Temperatures of Thermal Decomposition and the Corresponding Melting Points of Polyamide Esters ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Polymer | $T_{i},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $T_{m},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $T_{i}-T_{m},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECA-DMA | 50 | 70 | -20 |
| ECA-DMT | 100 | 60 | 40 |
| CED-ADC | 218 | $120,180^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 98,38 |
| CED-TPC | 255 | $200,220^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 55,35 |
| CHD-ADC | 225 | 133 | 92 |
| CHD-TPC | 250 | 190 | 60 |
| CHD-DMT | 250 | 80 | 85 |
| CPZ-DMA | 230 | 147 | 150 |
| CPZ-DMT | 290 | 170 | 143 |
| CPPD-ADC | 210 | $140,230^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 40 |
| CPPD-TPC | 215 | $75,-15$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The initiating temperatures of thermal decomposition $T_{i}$ were obtained by thermal gravimetric analysis, and the melting points $T_{m}$ were obtained by differential thermal analysis.
b Though the polymers melt at the higher temperatures, endothermic transitions at the lower temperatures were observed.
ester linkages of the CHD-TPC polymer. The ester sequence of the CHDDMT polymer will decompose in the first step, and in the second step the amide sequence will decompose. The alternating polymer CHD-TPC has a regularly alternating configuration of the amide-ester linkage, so that the decomposition of the ester linkage will accompany the subsequent decomposition of the neighboring amide linkage. This two-step mode decomposition is not observed in low melting point polymers, such as CPZ-DMA, CPZ-DMT, ECA-DMA, and ECA-DMT.

ECA, CED, and CHD gave higher thermal stabilities when polymerized with DMT and TPC than with DMA and ADC, while, on the other hand, CPZ and CPPD showed the same order of stability regardless whether they were polymerized with DMA and ADC or DMT and TPC. In the case of CPZ polymers, the absence of an N -hydrogen cannot accelerate the cleaverage of the ester linkage which is weak to proton, so that the stability of the ester linkage will become of the same order as that of the amide linkage. Similarly, the difference of the stabilities of the terephthalic ester and adipic esters is small. In CPPD polymers, the active, considerably acidic N-hydrogen of the amide linkage strongly initiates the decomposition of not only the adipic ester linkage but also the terephthalic ester linkage without the difference in rates of the two decompositions.

## Solubility

Solubilities of the polyamide esters are tabulated in Table IX. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF), formic acid, and $m$-cresol dissolve most of the polyamide esters. Except for two kinds of polymers, $m$-cresol dissolves polyamide esters very easily. CPZ polymers are easily soluble in chloroform, probably because of the absence of hydrogen bonding. It

TABLE IX
Solubilities of Polyamide Esters

| Polymer | Solvent Action ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMSO | DMF | Formic acid | $\begin{gathered} m- \\ \text { Cresol } \end{gathered}$ | Methanol | Ethylene glycol | Chloroform | Benzene |
| ECA-DMA | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ECA-DMT | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CED-DMA | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CED-DMT | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CHD-DMA | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3. | 0 | 0 |
| CHD-DMT | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CPZ-DMA | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| CPZ-DMT | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| CPPD-DMA | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CPPD-DMT | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ECA-ADC | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| ECA-TPC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| CED-ADC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CED-TPC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CHD-ADC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CHD-TPC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CPZ-ADC | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| CPZ-TPC | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| CPPD-ADC | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| CPPD-TPC | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 0=$ insoluble; $1=$ swelling; $2=$ partially soluble when hot; $3=$ soluble when hot, but reprecipitates on cooling; $4=$ soluble when hot and keeps solution state even after cooling; 5 = soluble even when cold.
is interesting that these polyamide esters are soluble in DMSO which dissolves polyesters but does not dissolve polyamides. On the other hand, chloroform does not dissolve polyamide esters, while it dissolves polyesters and does not dissolve polyamides. It is also remarkable that the solubility of polyamide esters in formic acid is not so good in spite of the good solubility of polyamides and polyesters in formic acid, while $m$-cresol dissolves all polyamide esters, polyamides, and polyesters. Thus, it should be concluded that these polyamide esters have solubilities which are not the mathematical sum of the solubilities of polyamides and polyesters due to the regularly alternating amide-ester linkages which have different characteristics from those of the individual amide and ester linkages. Films could be cast from the $m$-cresol solutions of CHD polymers, but not from DMSO, DMF, or formic acid.

## Infrared Absorption Spectra and X-Ray Diffraction

In Figures 3 and 4, typical examples of IR spectra of polyamide esters are shown. Characteristic key bands appear at $3280-3350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3070-3080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (both NH stretchings of the secondary amide linkage), $1640-1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (secondary amide I band), $1540-1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (secondary amide II band), 1730-1740 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching of the ester), $1250-1290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (the CO-O stretching of the ester linkage), $1000-1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (two or three absorptions, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and


Fig. 3. IR of polyamide esters-I.


Fig. 4. IR of polyamide esters--II.
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretchings of the ester and amide linkages), and at $700-750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide V band and polymethylene band).

The above absorptions are all strong bands. Comparing the IR charts of melt-polymerized polyamide esters with those of solution-polymerized polyamide esters, the bands at $1730-1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1250-1290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a band


Fig. 5. X-Ray diffractions of polyamide esters.
among the $1000-1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region decrease in intensity in some melt-polymerized polyamide esters, i.e., the ester linkages are partially lost by the thermal decomposition as was already discussed in the former sections. An interesting phenomenon on the relationship of the intensities between the 1250 $1290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1000-1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ regions is observed. In these regions, polyethylene adipate has key bands at $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $1085 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The basic vibrations of the ester linkage can be drawn as shown:

$\nu_{1}$

$\nu_{3}$

$\nu_{2}$
$\nu_{1}$ is the symmetric stretching vibration, $\nu_{3}$ is the antisymmetric stretching vibration, and $\nu_{2}$ is the deformation vibration. Thus, assignment of each vibration to each band should be as follows: $\nu_{3}$ at $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \nu_{2}$ at $1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\nu_{2}$ at $1085 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in poly(ethylene adipate). Poly(ethylene terephthalate) absorbs at $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $1010 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, each assigned as $\nu_{3}, \nu_{1}$, and $\nu_{2}$. The intensities of each absorption are of the following order: in poly(ethylene adipate), $\nu_{1}>\nu_{3}>\nu_{2}$; and in poly(ethylene terephthalate), $\nu_{3}>\nu_{1}>\nu_{2}$. This difference is due to the rigid configuration of the terephthalate ester linkage and the elastic configuration of the adipate ester linkage. The $\nu_{3}$ bands of DMA and ADC polyamide esters increase their intensities much higher than the $\nu_{3}$ intensities, so that in some DMA and ADC polyamide esters the order of the intensities becomes $\nu_{3}>\nu_{1}>\nu_{2}$. This indicates hydrogen bonding of the ester carbonyl with the N -hydrogen of the amide linkage. CPZ-DMA and CPZ-ADC polymers have the same order of intensity as that of poly(ethylene adipate) since these polymers have no hydrogen bonding.

It is interesting that the intensity of the $\nu_{3}$ band is higher in DMA polyamide esters than in ADC polyamide esters. In the regularly alternating ADC polyamide esters, the hydrogen bonding should be formed between the amide carbonyl and the N -hydrogen, so that the ester carbonyl would not take part in the hydrogen bonding. In the randomly configurated DMA polyamide esters, there are many chances of the hydrogen bonding between the ester carbonyl and the N -hydrogen.

In Figures 5 A and 5B, several examples of x -ray diffraction of polyamide esters are presented. ECA polymers and CPZ polymers are mostly of amorphous patterns, the former due to their random configuration and the latter due to the absence of N -hydrogen bonding. Solution-polymerized polyamide esters are all more highly crystalline than melt-polymerized polyamide esters, because the former are much more regularly alternating than the latter.

Crystalline polyamide esters are as follows: ECA-TPC; CED-ADC, CEDTPC, CED-DMA, CED-DMT; CHD-ADC, CHD-TPC, CHD-DMA, CHDDMT; CPPD-ADC, CPPD-TPC.

Amorphous polyamide esters are as follows: ECA-ADC, ECA-DMA, ECA-DMT; CPZ-ADC, CPZ-TPC, CPZ-DMA, CPZ-DMT; CPPD-DMA, CPPD-DMT. A good fiber x-ray photograph was obtained from the meltcast and drawn film five times its original. The observed fiber identity distance was $37.50 \AA$, which is very near the calculated value for the fully extended zig-zag structure of CHD-ADC polymer.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The polymerization was carried out by method (b), with 0.010 mole amide diol $/ 20 \mathrm{ml}$ trichloroethylene and $0.010 \mathrm{~mole} \mathrm{TPC} / 20 \mathrm{ml}$ pyridine, polymerizing 1 (run no. 6) or 1.5 (run no. 20) hr. Other polymerizations were carried out in 20 ml nitrobenzene for 6 hr by method (a).

[^1]:    b CHD $/ 10 \mathrm{ml}$ nitrobenzene and TPC/ 10 ml nitrobenzene were polymerized by method (b) for 3 hr . Other polymerizations were carried out in 20 ml nitrobenzene for 6 hr by method (a).

